1612.63479



## IN THE UNITED PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re II S Potont	TATENT AND TRADEMARK OF	FETCE
In re U.S. Patent Application of:	)	TICE
Applicant(s): Hongyong Zhang	)  I hereby certify that this	
Serial No. 09/468,489	) I hereby certify that this paper United States Postal Service a envelope addressed to: Commis. ) [450, Alexandria, VA 22313-145]	is being deposited with the strings for Page 15.
Conf. No.: 3703	) (450, Alexandria, VA 22313-145)	50, on this date.
Filed: December 20, 1999	) Date Registration No Attorney for Ap	plicant(s) 49 957
For: THIN FILM TRANSISTOR HAVING LIGHTLY AND HEAVILY DOPED SOURCE/ DRAIN REGIONS AND ITS MANUFACTURE  Art Unit: 2814	)	,,,,,,
Examiner: Quach, Tuan N.	) ) )	RECEIVED OCT -7 2003
RESPONSE TO ELECTION	I/Drace	/ED 2003
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	VRESTRICTION REQUIREMENT	2800

## Dear Sir:

In response to the Election/Restriction Requirement mailed August 27, 2003,

Applicant provisionally elects claims 1-6 and 22, with traverse. The grounds for traversal are set forth in the Remarks below.

Applicant respectfully traverses the Election/Restriction Requirement imposed by the Examiner because the Examiner has not demonstrated that a serious burden would be imposed by examination of all of the pending claims together. Section 803 of the MPEP requires that the Examiner must examine all of the claims on the merits when the search and examination of the entire Application can be made without a serious burden. In the present case, however, the Examiner has not established how the search and examination of claims 23-29 would impose a serious burden upon the Examiner, as opposed to merely an additional burden.

To the contrary, no such serious burden would exist because the same, or at least a very similar, search is believe to be required for proper examination of all of the pending claims. The two sets of claims in question contain many common elements, and Applicant submits that many of the classes and subclasses searched for proper examination of both groups would most likely be the same. Therefore, minimal, if any, additional searching should be required if all the claims are examined together. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that no serious burden would be imposed upon the Examiner to examine all of the claims together.

For all of the foregoing reasons, Applicant respectfully requests that the Election/Restriction Requirement imposed by the Examiner be withdrawn, and that all of the claims be examined together.

Respectfully submitted,

GEER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD.

By

Josh C. Snider

Registration No. 47,954

September 26, 2003

Customer No. 24978

300 S. Wacker Drive

Suite 2500 Chicago, Illinois 60606-6501

Telephone: (312) 360-0080

Facsimile: (312) 360-9315

K:\1612\63479\Response to Election Requirement.doc